2:98 Document 132 URT REPORTERS W. RIVERSIDE SUITE 1030 OKANE, WA 99201 THE HONORABLE ROBERT H. WHALEY 1 Douglas E. Smith (WSBA No. 17319) 2 Barbara J. Duffy (WSBA No. 18885) LANE POWELL SPEARS LUBERSKY LLP 3 FILED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4100 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 4 Seattle, Washington 98101-2338 Telephone: (206) 223-7000 APR 03 2000 5 Facsimile: (206) 223-7107 JAMES R. LARSEN, CLERK 6 SPOKANE, WASHINGTON 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT SPOKANE 10 GABRIEL ALVAREZ, VIRGINIA ALVAREZ, MARIA CHAVEZ, 11 RANULFO GUTIERREZ, PEDRO 12 HERNANDEZ, MARIA MARTINEZ, RAMON MORENO, and ISMAEL 13 RODRIGUEZ, individually and as class 14 **CLASS ACTION** representatives, 15 No. CT-98-5005-RHW Plaintiffs, 16 **DEFENDANT'S LR 56.1** 17 STATEMENT OF FACTS IN V. SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR 18 IBP, INC., a Delaware corporation, SUMMARY JUDGMENT 19 DISMISSING PLAINTIFFS' Defendant. STATE LAW MINIMUM WAGE 20 **ACT CLAIMS** 21 22 23 In support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' State 24 Minimum Wage Act Claims, defendant IBP, inc. ("IBP") submits the following 25 statement of material facts: 26 DEFENDANT'S LR 56.1 STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT LANE POWELL SPEARS LUBERSKY LLP **DISMISSING STATE LAW MWA CLAIMS - 1** SUITE 4100 1420 FIFTH AVENUE 115386.0001\675865.1 ORIGINAL SEATTLE, WA 98101

Filed 04/03/00

Plaintiffs' claims in this action are based on the allegation that each of the eight representative plaintiffs performs a certain number of minutes or hours of work activities before and after each scheduled work day, and during employee break periods. See Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint at ¶¶ 8-12, 45-52.

In response to discovery requests from IBP, plaintiffs have provided or suggested the following high estimates of the specific amounts of time they claim to have engaged in such activities:¹

¹ IBP disputes the accuracy of these estimates, and disagrees with plaintiffs' claims that the alleged "off-the-clock" activities constitute compensable work time. However, for purposes of this motion only, IBP will assume the estimates provided or suggested in plaintiffs' discovery responses are accurate and reflect compensable work time.

It is evident in the Interrogatory responses that the time estimates are inconsistent. In an effort to take a consistently conservative approach, IBP used the highest estimates offered or suggested by each of the plaintiffs in response to an interrogatory requesting an estimate of their alleged uncompensated time. A more detailed explanation of how IBP arrived at the high estimates from the individual plaintiffs' discovery responses is provided in ¶ 5 of the Declaration of Barbara J.

DEFENDANT'S LR 56.1 STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT DISMISSING STATE LAW MWA CLAIMS - 2

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	<u> </u>
13	,
14	-
15]
16]
17	
18	
19	(
20	,
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1) Plaintiff Gabriel Alvarez: 85 minutes;

2) Plaintiff Virginia Alvarez: 100 minutes;

3) Plaintiff Maria Chavez: 80 minutes;²

4) Plaintiff Ranulfo Gutierrez: 80 minutes;

5) Plaintiff Pedro Hernandez: 80 minutes;

6) Plaintiff Maria Martinez: 80 minutes;

7) Plaintiff Ramon Moreno: 80 minutes; and

8) Plaintiff Ismael Rodriguez: 80 minutes.

See Duffy Declaration at ¶ 5 and plaintiffs' responses to Interrogatory No. 4, collectively attached as Exhibit C to Duffy Declaration.

Duffy in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment Dismissing Plaintiffs' State Law Minimum Wage Act Claims (herein called "Duffy Declaration").

Due to the apparent discrepancies in Ms. Chavez's response and the resulting low estimates of her off-the-clock work as compared to the other named plaintiffs' estimates, IBP gave Ms. Chavez the benefit, not of her own high estimate of 70 minutes of off-the-clock work, but of the high estimate of the majority of her co-plaintiffs – 80 minutes of off-the-clock work everyday. Thus, IBP provided Ms. Chavez the benefit of an additional 10 minutes of off-the-clock work over and above even her own highest estimate. See Duffy Declaration at ¶5(d).

DEFENDANT'S LR 56.1 STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT DISMISSING STATE LAW MWA CLAIMS - 3

LANE POWELL SPEARS LUBERSKY LLP SUITE 4100 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SEATTLE, WA 98101 (206) 223-7000

26

1

5 6

4

7 8

10

9

11 12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

During the time period at issue in this lawsuit (June 30, 1995 to the present), the Washington Minimum Wage Act, as revised, has established a state minimum wage rate of \$4.90/hour (from June 1995 to December 1998), \$5.70/hour (from January 1999 to January 1, 2000) and \$6.50/hour (from January 1, 2000 to present).

In order to determine whether the additional work hours alleged by plaintiffs cause their weekly pay rate to drop below these state statutory minimum wage rate levels, IBP has prepared a summary of payroll information from each of the named plaintiffs. The summary identifies the actual straight time pay for each workweek during the time period in question, and then measures that weekly pay against the total number of work hours alleged for the week. The net result is the alleged rate of pay that each plaintiff claims to have been paid for each and every week at issue, assuming that all of plaintiffs' allegations concerning work hours are true. IBP has prepared this summary using the actual payroll data for each of the named plaintiffs, together with the actual work hour estimates provided in plaintiffs' own individual discovery responses (as summarized above). See Duffy Declaration at ¶ 2: accompanying Declaration of Jean Olson in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment Dismissing Plaintiffs' State Law Minimum Wage Act Claims ("Olson Declaration") and Exhibits 1-8 attached to Olson Declaration.

26

No plaintiff has ever been paid a rate below the statutory minimum. In fact, the weekly pay for each plaintiff has significantly exceeded the minimum wage rate during each week of the plaintiffs' employment at IBP, even if the Court accepts all of plaintiffs' allegations in this case as true.

- 1. **Gabriel Alvarez.** Attached as Exhibit 1 to the Olson Declaration is a Chart which accurately reflects the following information for plaintiff Gabriel Alvarez from July 1, 1995 to the present:
- a) Pay Period. ["Pay Period"]: Column No. 1 of the comparative wage chart identifies the specific ending date for each workweek for which payroll data and work hours have been collected and analyzed for Mr. Alvarez. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 5.
- b) Total Work Hours Recorded. ["Tot. Work Hrs. Recorded"]: The second column of the chart lists the total hours of work time recorded by Mr. Alvarez within the pay period. This column does not include time recorded for such non-work activities as vacations, sick time, holidays or jury duty. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 6.
- c) Total Regular Compensation for Week. ["Tot. Reg. Comp. Wk."]: This third column sets forth in dollars the total gross straight time (regular) earnings received by Mr. Alvarez for the hours worked in the given workweek. This column excludes any additional amounts Mr. Alvarez may have received for overtime,

sunshine pay, or other bonuses. As a result, in some instances he may have received more compensation (and therefore a higher actual rate of pay) than the amounts listed.

See Olson Declaration at ¶ 7.

- d) Paid Hourly Rate. ["Paid Hourly Rate"]: This fourth column is calculated by dividing the total regular paid compensation in column three by the total hours worked in column two. This paid hourly rate typically will be the contract rate earned by Mr. Alvarez during the time period. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 8.
- e) Additional Time Per Week Claimed. ["Add'l Time Per Wk Claimed"]: The fifth column lists the additional number of hours that Mr. Alvarez claims to have worked during each workweek. This figure is based on the estimates provided in Mr. Alvarez's own individual discovery responses. See Duffy Declaration at ¶2 and ¶5(a) and (b). To be conservative, IBP has used the highest estimates provided in Mr. Alvarez's discovery responses. Id. at ¶2(a).
- f) Total Time Including Claimed Time. ["Total Time Inc. Claimed Time"]: The sixth column is the sum of the recorded hours and the additional work hours claimed by Mr. Alvarez as stated in Response to Interrogatories (the sum of the second and fifth columns). See Duffy Declaration at ¶ 2(b).
- g) Claimed Hourly Rate. ["Claimed Hourly Rate"]: The seventh column contains the claimed hourly rate that Mr. Alvarez claims to have been paid for

each workweek, assuming that all of his allegations concerning work hours are accepted as true. This rate of pay is calculated by dividing the total regular compensation for the week (the third column) by the total claimed hours for the week (the sixth column). See Duffy Declaration at ¶2(c). Even if the Court accepts all of Mr. Alvarez's allegations at face value, this column demonstrates that Mr. Alvarez was paid at a rate that exceeds the state minimum wage rate during each and every week of employment at IBP.

- h) **Minimum Wage Rate.** ["Min Wage"]: The eighth column lists the applicable state minimum wage rate in effect during the given pay period. See Duffy Declaration at ¶ 2(d).
- 2. **Virginia Alvarez.** Attached as Exhibit 2 to the Olson Declaration is a Chart which accurately reflects the following information for plaintiff Virginia Alvarez from July 1, 1995 to the present:
- a) Pay Period. ["Pay Period"]: Column No. 1 of the comparative wage chart identifies the specific ending date for each workweek for which payroll data and work hours have been collected and analyzed for Ms. Alvarez. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 5.
- b) Total Work Hours Recorded. ["Tot. Work Hrs. Recorded"]: The second column of the chart lists the total hours of work time recorded by Ms. Alvarez

within the pay period. This column does not include time recorded for such non-work activities as vacations, sick time, holidays or jury duty. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 6.

- c) Total Regular Compensation for Week. [Tot. Reg. Comp. Wk.]: This third column sets forth in dollars the total gross straight time (regular) earnings received by Ms. Alvarez for the hours worked in the given workweek. This column excludes any additional amounts Ms. Alvarez may have received for overtime, sunshine pay, or other bonuses. As a result, in some instances she may have received more compensation (and therefore a higher actual rate of pay) than the amounts listed. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 7.
- d) Paid Hourly Rate. ["Paid Hourly Rate"]: This fourth column is calculated by dividing the total regular paid compensation in column three by the total hours worked in column two. This paid hourly rate will typically be the contract rate earned by Ms. Alvarez during the time period. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 8.
- e) Additional Time Per Week Claimed. ["Add'1 Time Per Wk Claimed"]: The fifth column lists the additional number of hours that Ms. Alvarez claims to have worked during each workweek. This figure is based on the estimates provided in Ms. Alvarez's own individual discovery responses. See Duffy Declaration at ¶ 2 and ¶ 5(c). To be conservative, IBP has used the highest estimates provided in Ms. Alvarez's discovery responses. Id. at ¶ 2(a).

- f) Total Time Including Claimed Time. ["Total Time Inc. Claimed Time"]: The sixth column is the sum of the recorded hours and the additional work hours claimed by Ms. Alvarez as stated in Response to Interrogatories (the sum of the second and fifth columns). See Duffy Declaration at ¶ 2(b).
- column contains the claimed hourly rate that Ms. Alvarez claims to have been paid for each workweek, assuming that all of her allegations concerning work hours are accepted as true. This rate of pay is calculated by dividing the total regular compensation for the week (the third column) by the total claimed hours for the week (the sixth column). See Duffy Declaration at ¶2(c). Even if the Court accepts all of plaintiffs' allegations at face value, this column demonstrates that Ms. Alvarez was paid at a rate that exceeds the state minimum wage rate during each and every week of employment at IBP.
- h) **Minimum Wage Rate.** ["Min Wage"]: The eighth column lists the applicable state minimum wage rate in effect during the given pay period. <u>See</u> Duffy Declaration at ¶ 2(d).

3. **Maria Chavez.** Attached as Exhibit 3 to the Olson Declaration is a Chart which accurately reflects the following information for plaintiff Maria Chavez from July 1, 1995 to the present:

- a) Pay Period. ["Pay Period"]: Column No. 1 of the comparative wage chart identifies the specific ending date for each workweek for which payroll data and work hours have been collected and analyzed for Ms. Chavez. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 5.
- b) Total Work Hours Recorded. ["Tot. Work Hrs. Recorded"]: The second column of the chart lists the total hours of work time recorded by Ms. Chavez within the pay period. This column does not include time recorded for such non-work activities as vacations, sick time, holidays or jury duty. See Olson Declaration at ¶6.
- c) Total Regular Compensation for Week. ["Tot. Reg. Comp. Wk."]: This third column sets forth in dollars the total gross straight time (regular) earnings received by Ms. Chavez for the hours worked in the given workweek. This column excludes any additional amounts Ms. Chavez may have received for overtime, sunshine pay, or other bonuses. As a result, in some instances she may have received more compensation (and therefore a higher actual rate of pay) than the amounts listed. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 7.

- d) Paid Hourly Rate. ["Paid Hourly Rate"]: This fourth column is calculated by dividing the total regular paid compensation in column three by the total hours worked in column two. This paid hourly rate will typically be the contract rate earned by Ms. Chavez during the time period. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 8.
- e) Additional Time Per Week Claimed. ["Add'l Time Per Wk Claimed"]: The fifth column lists the additional number of hours that the majority of Ms. Chavez's co-plaintiffs claim to have worked during each workweek. Due to the apparent discrepancies in Ms. Chavez's own discovery responses and the resulting low estimates of her off-the-clock work per day (with a high estimate of 70 minutes which is 10 minutes less than the majority of her co-plaintiffs), IBP added 10 minutes to Ms. Chavez's highest daily estimate to account for any possible errors. See Duffy Declaration at ¶2 and ¶5(d). For purposes of this motion, IBP will assume that this higher estimate actually represents Ms. Chavez's claimed additional work hours.
- f) Total Time Including Claimed Time. ["Total Time Inc. Claimed Time"]: The sixth column is the sum of the recorded hours and the additional work hours claimed by Ms. Chavez as stated in Response to Interrogatories (the sum of the second and fifth columns). See Duffy Declaration at ¶ 2(b).
- g) Claimed Hourly Rate. ["Claimed Hourly Rate"]: The seventh column contains the claimed hourly rate that Ms. Chavez claims to have been paid for

each workweek, assuming that all of her allegations concerning work hours are accepted as true. This rate of pay is calculated by dividing the total regular compensation for the week (the third column) by the total claimed hours for the week (the sixth column). See Duffy Declaration at ¶2(c). Even if the Court accepts all of plaintiffs' allegations at face value, this column demonstrates that Ms. Chavez was paid at a rate that exceeds the state minimum wage rate during each and every week of employment at IBP.

- h) Minimum Wage Rate. ["Min Wage"]: The eighth column lists the applicable state minimum wage rate in effect during the given pay period. See Duffy Declaration at ¶ 2(d).
- 4. Ranulfo Gutierrez. Attached as Exhibit 4 to the Olson Declaration is a Chart which accurately reflects the following information for plaintiff Ranulfo Gutierrez from July 1, 1995 to the present.
- a) Pay Period. ["Pay Period"]: Column No. 1 of the comparative wage chart identifies the specific ending date for each workweek for which payroll data and work hours have been collected and analyzed for Mr. Gutierrez. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 5.
- b) Total Work Hours Recorded. ["Tot. Work Hrs. Recorded"]: The second column of the chart lists the total hours of work time recorded by

DEFENDANT'S LR 56.1 STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT DISMISSING STATE LAW MWA CLAIMS - 12

Mr. Gutierrez within the pay period. This column does not include time recorded for such non-work activities as vacations, sick time, holidays or jury duty. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 6.

- c) Total Regular Compensation for Week. ["Tot. Reg. Comp. Wk."]: This third column sets forth in dollars the total gross straight time (regular) earnings received by Mr. Gutierrez for the hours worked in the given workweek. This column has excluded any additional amounts Mr. Gutierrez may have received for overtime, sunshine pay, or other bonuses. As a result, in some instances he may have received more compensation (and therefore a higher actual rate of pay) than the amounts listed. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 7.
- d) Paid Hourly Rate. ["Paid Hourly Rate"]: This fourth column is calculated by dividing the total regular paid compensation in column three by the total hours worked in column two. This paid hourly rate typically will be the contract rate earned by Mr. Gutierrez during the time period. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 8.
- e) Additional Time Per Week Claimed. ["Add'1 Time Per Wk Claimed"]: The fifth column lists the additional number of hours that Mr. Gutierrez claims to have worked during each workweek. This figure is based on the estimates provided in Mr. Gutierrez's own individual discovery responses. See Duffy

DEFENDANT'S LR 56.1 STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT DISMISSING STATE LAW MWA CLAIMS - 13

Declaration at \P 2 and \P 5(e) and (f). To be conservative, IBP has used the highest estimates provided in Mr. Gutierrez's discovery responses. <u>Id.</u> at \P 2(a).

- f) Total Time Including Claimed Time. ["Total Time Inc. Claimed Time"]: The sixth column is the sum of the recorded hours and the additional work hours claimed by Mr. Gutierrez as stated in Response to Interrogatories (the sum of the second and fifth columns). See Duffy Declaration at ¶ 2(c).
- column contains the claimed hourly rate that Mr. Gutierrez claims to have been paid for each workweek, assuming that all of his allegations concerning work hours are accepted as true. This rate of pay is calculated by dividing the total regular compensation for the week (the third column) by the total claimed hours for the week (the sixth column). See Duffy Declaration at ¶2(d). Even if the Court accepts all of Mr. Gutierrez's allegations at face value, this column demonstrates that Mr. Gutierrez was paid at a rate that exceeds the state minimum wage rate during each and every week of employment at IBP.
- h) Minimum Wage Rate. ["Min Wage"]: The eighth column lists the applicable state minimum wage rate in effect during the given pay period. See Duffy Declaration at ¶ 2(e).

DEFENDANT'S LR 56.1 STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT DISMISSING STATE LAW MWA CLAIMS - 14

115386.0001\675865.1

- 5. **Pedro Hernandez.** Attached as Exhibit 5 to the Olson Declaration is a Chart which accurately reflects the following information for plaintiff Pedro Hernandez from July 1, 1995 to the present:
- a) Pay Period. ["Pay Period"]: Column No. 1 of the comparative wage chart identifies the specific ending date for each workweek for which payroll data and work hours have been collected and analyzed for Mr. Hernandez. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 5.
- b) Total Work Hours Recorded. ["Tot. Work Hrs. Recorded"]: The second column of the chart lists the total hours of work time recorded by Mr. Hernandez within the pay period. This column does not include time recorded for such non-work activities as vacations, sick time, holidays or jury duty. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 6.
- c) Total Regular Compensation for Week. ["Tot. Reg. Comp. Wk."]: This third column sets forth in dollars the total gross straight time (regular) earnings received by Mr. Hernandez for the hours worked in the given workweek. This column excludes any additional amounts Mr. Hernandez may have received for overtime, sunshine pay, or other bonuses. As a result, in some instances he may have received more compensation (and therefore a higher actual rate of pay) than the amounts listed. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 7.

DEFENDANT'S LR 56.1 STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT DISMISSING STATE LAW MWA CLAIMS - 15

- d) Paid Hourly Rate. ["Paid Hourly Rate"]: This fourth column is calculated by dividing the total regular paid compensation in column three by the total hours worked in column two. This paid hourly rate will typically be the contract rate earned by Mr. Hernandez during the time period. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 8.
- e) Additional Time Per Week Claimed. ["Add'l Time Per Wk Claimed"]: The fifth column lists the additional number of hours that Mr. Hernandez claims to have worked during each workweek. This figure is based on the estimates provided in Mr. Hernandez's own individual discovery responses. See Duffy Declaration at ¶ 2 and ¶ 5 (g) and (h). To be conservative, IBP has used the highest estimates provided in Mr. Hernandez's discovery responses. Id. at ¶ 2(a).
- f) Total Time Including Claimed Time. ["Total Time Inc. Claimed Time"]: The sixth column is the sum of the recorded hours and the additional work hours claimed by Mr. Hernandez as stated in Response to Interrogatories (the sum of the second and fifth columns). See Duffy Declaration at ¶ 2(b).
- g) Claimed Hourly Rate. ["Claimed Hourly Rate"]: The seventh column contains the claimed hourly rate that Mr. Hernandez claims to have been paid for each workweek, assuming that all of his allegations concerning work hours are accepted as true. This rate of pay is calculated by dividing the total regular compensation for the week (the third column) by the total claimed hours for the week

(the sixth column). See Duffy Declaration at ¶ 2(c). Even if the Court accepts all of plaintiffs' allegations at face value, this column demonstrates that Mr. Hernandez was paid at a rate that exceeds the state minimum wage rate during each and every week of employment at IBP.

- h) Minimum Wage Rate. ["Min Wage"]: The eighth column lists the applicable state minimum wage rate in effect during the given pay period. See Duffy Declaration at ¶ 2(d).
- 6. **Maria Martinez.** Attached as Exhibit 6 to the Olson Declaration is a Chart which accurately reflects the following information for plaintiff Maria Martinez from July 1, 1995 to the present:
- a) Pay Period. ["Pay Period"]: Column No. 1 of the comparative wage chart identifies the specific ending date for each workweek for which payroll data and work hours have been collected and analyzed for Ms. Martinez. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 5.
- b) Total Work Hours Recorded. ["Tot. Work Hrs. Recorded"]: The second column of the chart lists the total hours of work time recorded by Ms. Martinez within the pay period. This column does not include time recorded for such non-work activities as vacations, sick time, holidays or jury duty. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 6.

DEFENDANT'S LR 56.1 STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT DISMISSING STATE LAW MWA CLAIMS - 17

c) Total Regular Compensation for Week. ["Tot. Reg. Comp. Wk."]: This third column sets forth in dollars the total gross straight time (regular) earnings received by Ms. Martinez for the hours worked in the given workweek. This column excludes any additional amounts Ms. Martinez may have received for overtime, sunshine pay, or other bonuses. As a result, in some instances she may have received more compensation (and therefore a higher actual rate of pay) than the amounts listed. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 7.

- d) Paid Hourly Rate. ["Paid Hourly Rate"]: This fourth column is calculated by dividing the total regular paid compensation in column three by the total hours worked in column two. This paid hourly rate will typically be the contract rate earned by Ms. Martinez during the time period. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 8.
- e) Additional Time Per Week Claimed. ["Add'l Time Per Wk Claimed"]: The fifth column lists the additional number of hours that Ms. Martinez claims to have worked during each workweek. This figure is based on the estimates provided in Ms. Martinez's own individual discovery responses. See Duffy Declaration at ¶2 and ¶5(i) and (j). To be conservative, IBP has used the highest estimates provided in Ms. Martinez's discovery responses. Id. at ¶2(a).
- f) Total Time Including Claimed Time. ["Total Time Inc. Claimed Time"]: The sixth column is the sum of the recorded hours and the additional work

the second and fifth columns). See Duffy Declaration at ¶ 2(b).

g) Claimed Hourly Rate. ["Claimed Hourly Rate"]: The seventh

hours claimed by Ms. Martinez as stated in Response to Interrogatories (the sum of

- column contains the claimed hourly rate that Ms. Martinez claims to have been paid for each workweek, assuming that all of her allegations concerning work hours are accepted as true. This rate of pay is calculated by dividing the total regular compensation for the week (the third column) by the total claimed hours for the week (the sixth column). See Duffy Declaration at ¶2(c). Even if the Court accepts all of plaintiffs' allegations at face value, this column demonstrates that Ms. Martinez was paid at a rate that exceeds the state minimum wage rate during each and every week of employment at IBP.
- h) Minimum Wage Rate. ["Min Wage"]: The eighth column lists the applicable state minimum wage rate in effect during the given pay period. See Duffy Declaration at ¶ 2(d).
- 7. Ramon Moreno. Attached as Exhibit 7 to the Olson Declaration is a Chart which accurately reflects the following information for plaintiff Ramon Moreno from July 23, 1995 (the date marking the end of Mr. Moreno's first weekly pay period at IBP) to the present:

DEFENDANT'S LR 56.1 STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT DISMISSING STATE LAW MWA CLAIMS - 19

- a) Pay Period. ["Pay Period"]: Column No. 1 of the comparative wage chart identifies the specific ending date for each workweek for which payroll data and work hours have been collected and analyzed for Mr. Moreno. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 5.
- b) Total Work Hours Recorded. ["Tot. Work Hrs. Recorded"]: The second column of the chart lists the total hours of work time recorded by Mr. Moreno within the pay period. This column does not include time recorded for such non-work activities as vacations, sick time, holidays or jury duty. See Olson Declaration at ¶6.
- c) Total Regular Compensation for Week. ["Tot. Reg. Comp. Wk."]: This third column sets forth in dollars the total gross straight time (regular) earnings received by Mr. Moreno for the hours worked in the given workweek. This column excludes any additional amounts Mr. Moreno may have received for overtime, sunshine pay, or other bonuses. As a result, in some instances he may have received more compensation (and therefore a higher actual rate of pay) than the amounts listed. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 7.
- d) Paid Hourly Rate. ["Paid Hourly Rate"]: This fourth column is calculated by dividing the total regular paid compensation in column three by the total hours worked in column two. This paid hourly rate will typically be the contract rate earned by Mr. Moreno during the time period. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 8.

- e) Additional Time Per Week Claimed. ["Add'l Time Per Wk Claimed"]: The fifth column lists the additional number of hours that Mr. Moreno claims to have worked during each workweek. This figure is based on the estimates provided in Mr. Moreno's own individual discovery responses. See Duffy Declaration at ¶2 and ¶5(k) and (l). To be conservative, IBP has used the highest estimates provided in Mr. Moreno's discovery responses. Id. at ¶2(a).
- f) Total Time Including Claimed Time. ["Total Time Inc. Claimed Time"]: The sixth column is the sum of the recorded hours and the additional work hours claimed by Mr. Moreno as stated in Response to Interrogatories (the sum of the second and fifth columns). See Duffy Declaration at ¶ 2(b).
- column contains the claimed hourly rate that Mr. Moreno claims to have been paid for each workweek, assuming that all of his allegations concerning work hours are accepted as true. This rate of pay is calculated by dividing the total regular compensation for the week (the third column) by the total claimed hours for the week (the sixth column). See Duffy Declaration at ¶2(c). Even if the Court accepts all of Mr. Moreno's allegations at face value, this column demonstrates that Mr. Moreno was paid at a rate that exceeds the state minimum wage rate during each and every week of employment at IBP.

DEFENDANT'S LR 56.1 STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT DISMISSING STATE LAW MWA CLAIMS - 21

- h) Minimum Wage Rate. ["Min Wage"]: The eighth column lists the applicable state minimum wage rate in effect during the given pay period. See Duffy Declaration at ¶ 2(d).
- 8. Ismael Rodriguez. Attached as Exhibit 8 to Olson Declaration is a Chart which accurately reflects the following information for plaintiff Ismael Rodriguez from July 1, 1995 to the present.
- a) Pay Period. ["Pay Period"]: Column No. 1 of the comparative wage chart identifies the specific ending date for each workweek for which payroll data and work hours have been collected and analyzed for Mr. Rodriguez. See Olsen Declaration at ¶ 5.
- b) Total Work Hours Recorded. ["Tot. Work Hrs. Recorded"]: The second column of the chart lists the total hours of work time recorded by Mr. Rodriguez within the pay period. This column does not include time recorded for such non-work activities as vacations, sick time, holidays or jury duty. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 6.
- c) Total Regular Compensation for Week. ["Tot. Reg. Comp. Wk."]: This third column sets forth in dollars the total gross straight time (regular) earnings received by Mr. Rodriguez for the hours worked in the given workweek. This column excludes any additional amounts. Mr. Rodriguez may have received for

overtime, sunshine pay, or other bonuses. As a result, in some instances he may have received more compensation (and therefore a higher actual rate of pay) than the amounts listed. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 7.

- d) Paid Hourly Rate. ["Paid Hourly Rate"]: This fourth column is calculated by dividing the total regular paid compensation in column three by the total hours worked in column two. This paid hourly rate will typically be the contract rate earned by Mr. Rodriguez during the time period. See Olson Declaration at ¶ 8.
- e) Additional Time Per Week Claimed. ["Add'1 Time Per Wk Claimed"]: The fifth column lists the additional number of hours that Mr. Rodriguez claims to have worked during each workweek. This figure is based on the estimates provided in Mr. Rodriguez 'own individual discovery responses. See Duffy Declaration at ¶2 and ¶5(m) and (n). To be conservative, IBP has used the highest estimates provided in Mr. Rodriguez' discovery responses. Id. at ¶2(a).
- f) Total Time Including Claimed Time. ["Total Time Inc. Claimed Time"]: The sixth column is the sum of the recorded hours and the additional work hours claimed by Mr. Rodriguez as stated in Response to Interrogatories (the sum of the second and fifth columns). See Duffy Declaration at ¶ 2(b).
- g) Claimed Hourly Rate. ["Claimed Hourly Rate"]: The seventh column contains the claimed hourly rate that Mr. Rodriguez claims to have been paid

22

23

24

25

26

for each workweek, assuming that all of his allegations concerning work hours are This rate of pay is calculated by dividing the total regular accepted as true. compensation for the week (the third column) by the total claimed hours for the week (the sixth column). See Duffy Declaration at ¶ 2(c). Even if the Court accepts all of plaintiffs' allegations at face value, this column demonstrates that Mr. Rodriguez was paid at a rate that exceeds the state minimum wage rate during each and every week of employment at IBP.

h) Minimum Wage Rate. ["Min Wage"]: The eighth column lists the applicable state minimum wage rate in effect during the given pay period. See Duffy Declaration at ¶ 2(d).

DATED this 29 day of March, 2000.

SPEARS LUBERSKY LLP

Barbara J. Duffy, WSBA No. 18885 Attorneys for Defendant IBP, inc.

DEFENDANT'S LR 56.1 STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT **DISMISSING STATE LAW MWA CLAIMS - 24**